



North Lily Mining Company

March 20, 1992

State of Utah-Dept. of Natural Resources Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining 355 West North Temple 3 Triad Center, Suite 350 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203 REGIZIVEU)

MAR 2 3 1992

DIVISION OF OIL GAS & MINING

Attention: Mr. D. Wayne Hedberg Permit Supervisor Minerals Regulatory Program

Subject: Permit Revision, Tintic Heap Leach Project, M/023/007,

Juab County, Utah.

Dear Mr. Hedberg,

Pursuant to your letter of February 13, 1992 and our phone conversation on February 13, please find enclosed the revisions/amendments to our current amendment application.

The schedule in which North Lily Mining Company (NLMC) would prefer to screen and transport the screened product to our Silver City heap leach site is as follows:

mp Name	County	1/4 Section	Township	Range
Eureka Hill	Juab	SE 13	T 10 S	R 3 W
Upper Mammoth	Juab	NM 30	T 10 S	R 2 W
North Star	Juab	SE 30	T 10 S	R 2 W
Unnamed	Juab	SE 30	T 10 S	R 2 W
Iron Blossom	Utah	NW 29	T 10 S	R 2 W
Colorado #1	Utah	SW 20	T 10 S	R 2 W
Colorado #2	Utah	SW 20	T 10 S	R 2 W
Eagle	Juab	SW 18	T 10 S	R 2 W
	Eureka Hill Upper Mammoth North Star Unnamed Iron Blossom Colorado #1 Colorado #2	Eureka Hill Juab Upper Mammoth Juab North Star Juab Unnamed Juab Iron Blossom Utah Colorado #1 Utah Colorado #2 Utah	Eureka Hill Juab SE 13 Upper Mammoth Juab NW 30 North Star Juab SE 30 Unnamed Juab SE 30 Iron Blossom Utah NW 29 Colorado #1 Utah SW 20 Colorado #2 Utah SW 20	Eureka Hill Juab SE 13 T 10 S Upper Mammoth Juab NW 30 T 10 S North Star Juab SE 30 T 10 S Unnamed Juab SE 30 T 10 S Iron Blossom Utah NW 29 T 10 S Colorado #1 Utah SW 20 T 10 S Colorado #2 Utah SW 20 T 10 S

Each item where deficiencies were noted, in your letter of February 13, will be addressed in the same sequence.

R647-4-101 Filing Requirement and Review Procedures - HWS

Refer to Attachment A - Form MR-REV - Notice of Intention to Revise Mining Operations.

R647-4-105.3 Maps, Drawings, Cross Sections-HWS

Please refer to Appendix B 1 through B 17 for plan, cross sections and post reclamation contour maps. (Note: The base or toe of each dump in this application revision has an assumed elevation of 0 feet and the past reclaimed contours may very from what is demonstrated on the past reclamation maps).

The reclaimed slopes of each dump will conform as much as possible with the existing terrain and will aesthetically conform to the surroundings. The rejected material will be handled on a dump bases depending on how the material can best be spread or contoured to conform with existing terrain. In some cases minor amounts of top soil may be needed to be removed before screening and at the conclusion of the operation the rejected material can be spread and or contoured into the existing terrain and the topsoil spread over the top as a cover for the vegetation.

* R647-4-106.4 Operation Plan, Nature of Material $-H\omega^{s/D\omega H}$

The dumps will be screened to a minus 1/2 inch thus the + 1/2 inch material will remain at the original dump site. The following table shows the estimate tons in each dump, the present and tonnage figures of what North Lily expects to be in the screened (- 1/2) material and the + 1/2 material to remain at the dump site: (Please note, that several of the dumps shown here will be entirely removed and any reject will be stockpile near one of the other dumps).

			TO REMAIN
Total/T	% -1/2	Screened/T	Reject/T,
		-1/2"	+3
10,000	75	7,500	256 *2,500-STOCKFILED WITH
12,500	70	9,500	3,000
24,000	63	15,500	77%-8,900
38,000	52	20,000	48% 18,000
2,500	60	1,500	40% 1,000
31,300	74	23,200	26% 8,100
15,200	60	9,100	40% *6,100-Stock PLED WAS 1
295,000	59	175,000	4/%120,000
	10,000 12,500 24,000 38,000 2,500 31,300 15,200	10,000 75 12,500 70 24,000 63 38,000 52 2,500 60 31,300 74 15,200 60	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

The rejected material will range in site from + 1/2 inch to cobble site (6 to 8 inches).

> UPPER EUREKA HILL AND

COLORADO #/ & # 2 DUNPS REMOVED

NOTE: * See Mine Planfor remainder of this response put